DEPOSITION of MARKS PANETH & SHRON, a Defendant

to Order, taken before Sima D. Lowy, a reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.

in the above-entitled action, by WILLIAM H. JENNINGS, held at the above time and place, pursuant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN L. EDMONDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A MANAGING GENERAL PARTNER OF FIFTH AND 106TH STREET HOUSING COMPANY, INC., LOGAN PLAZA, ASSOCIATES, LP, CHARLES H. ASSOCIATES a/k/a CHARLES H. HILL ASSOCIATES, LP AND AS A LIMITED PARTNER OF CHURCH HOME ASSOCIATES, LP, Plaintiffs;

-against-

ROBERT W. SEAVEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A GENERAL PARTNER OF FITTH AND 106TH STREET ASSOCIATES, LP, LOGAN PLAZA ASSOCIATES, LP, CHARLES HILL ASSOCIATES, CHARLES HILL ASSOCIATES, LP AND AS A LIMITED PARTNER OF CHURCH HOME ASSOCIATES, LP; PHYLLIS M. SEAVEY INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ORNER, MANAGER AND MEMBER OF DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC; AVERY B. SEAVEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A GENERAL PARTNER OF LOGAN PLAZA ASSOCIATES, LP, CHURCH HOME ASSOCIATES AND OWNER OF DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; NEALE B. SEAVEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS OWNER, MANAGER AND MEMBER OF DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; AND ROWALD DAWLEY. AS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; THE COMPANY, LLC: DALTON MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, THE SEAVEY ORGANIZATION, and MARKS PANETH & SHRON, Auditors,

Defendants.

71 Maple Street Brooklyn, New York

WILLIAM H. JENNINGS

February 3 . 2008 10:31 a.m.

Appearances:

M. DOUGLAS HAYWOODE, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiffs 71 Maple Street: Brooklyn, New York 11225

HERRICK, FEINSTEIN, LLP Attorneys for Defendants
2 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 BY: M. DARREN TRAUB, ESQ.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP Attorneys for Defendants MARKS PANETH & SHRON 3 Gannett Drive
White Plains, New York 10604
BY: WILLIAM J. KELLY, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:

Orley Cameron Sandra Griffiths Adam Pryce John Edmonds

STIPULATIONS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing, sealing and certification be and the same are hereby waived.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question shall be reserved to the time of the trial.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be signed and sworn to before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

8 9

1 2

3

٠4

11 12 13

10

14 15 16

17 18 19

20 21

22 23

24

	1
1	. 5
2	WILLIAM H JENNINGS, the
3	witness herein, having first been
4	duly sworn by a Notary Public of the
5	State of New York, was examined and
. 6	testified as follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY
8	MR. HAYWOODE:
9.	Q State your name for the record,
10	please.
11	A William H. Jennings.
12	Q State your address for the
13	record, please.
14.	A 7 Enfield Lane, Hauppauge, New
15	York 11788.
16	Q Mr. Jennings, you've been deposed
17	previously?
18	A For?
19	Q For any matter.
20	À Yes,
21	Q So then you understand that I'm
22	going to put questions to you, you'll
23	listen to the question and then make
24	your response.
25	If your counsel has an objection

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W. H. Jennings 7
2	A Bank reconciliations, basic work
. 3	as an entry-level. I was more in a
4	training stage.
5	Q . What position do you hold with
6	Marks Paneth & Shron now?
7	A Partner.
8	Q How many partners are there?
9	A I believe there's sixty.
10	Q As a partner, sir, what is your
11	duty or responsibility in the firm?
12	A I have a book of business and my
13	responsibility is to review tax returns,
14	financial statements before they're
15	issued.
16	Q The partners in the firm, the
17	primary partners, let's see, Marks, Mr.
18	Marks, what is the first name?
19	A George marks.
20	Q How long has he been a partner in
21	the firm?
22	A I believe he may be deceased.
23	Q You believe that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Not to make light of it but news

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings he will state it for the record. 3 Functioning under new rules restrains 4 all the lawyers and I believe with rare exceptions most questions you have to 6 respond to and the lawyers have to deal with it later. 8 If there's something that I say . 9 that you don't understand, say so and 10 we'll try to get your response with as much fidelity as we possibly can. 11. Q All right, now, sir, you are 12 employed by Marks Paneth & Shron? 13. A Yes. 14. Q When did you first become 15 employed by them?

A October of 1979. 16 17 Q In what capacity did you join the 18 19 firm? A Entry-level. 20. 21 Q As an entry-level person what 22 were your duties then? 23 A Whatever I was delegated. 24 Q Generally, what were you 25 delegated?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W.H. Jennings 8
2	travels slowly.
3	A He wasn't there when I started.
4	Q When you started he wasn't there,
5	okay.
6	And Paneth?
7	A Don't know anything about him.
8	Q Know nothing of him?
9	A He's not with the firm now.
10	Q But his name is there?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And Mr. Shron?
13.	A Passed away two weeks ago.
14	Q Did he?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Oh, and that's William Shron?
17.	A Correct.
18	Q. When did the firm, if you know,
19	become Marks Paneth & Shron?
20	A. January 1, 2000.
21	Q And prior to that day who were
22	you working for?
23	A Marks Shron and Company.
24	Q When did Marks and Shron join
25:	together, if you know?

1	W. H. Jennings 9
2	A I don't know.
3	Q Do you have any information which
,4	you might speculate as to?
5	A I think it was in the 40s.
6	Q So to your knowledge Marks and
7	Shron have functioned together possibly
8	from the 40s?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Did there come a time when the
11	accounts for the four buildings that
12	we're concerned with, Caanan, Charles
13	Hill, Logan and Fifth and 106th Street,
14	did there come a time when those
15	accounts came to the firm?
16	A Yes, absolutely.
17	Q When, if you recall, was that?
18	A Charles H. Housing was, I
19	believe, 1984.
20	Q Who brought that account to the
21	firm?
22.	A Arthur Goldman.
23	Q And he is a partner?
24	A He's deceased.
25	Q Was he a partner in life?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

٠.,	
· . 1 ,	W. H. Jennings 11
2	A Yes, Mr. Goldman. I worked for
. 3	Mr. Goldman.
4	Q You worked for Mr. Goldman at
5	that time?
6	A He had a massive heart attack at
. 7	forty three.
8	Q That's tragic.
9	And finally, Fifth and 106th
10	Street?
1.1	A That's an older job. That's
12	probably been with the firm I'm not
13	sure. It predates me to the early 70s.
. 14	Q And in the early 70s, to the best
15	of your knowledge, when the firm was
16	retained who were the partners then?
17	A The partners of the firm?
18	Q Yes.
19	A Bill Shron, Robert Muller, Arnold
20	Rubin, Bert Rosen and Al Goldberg.
21	Q But the Fifth Avenue account was
22	retained by William Shron; is that
23	correct?
24	A I don't know.
25	Q Do you personally know Robert W.

1	W. H. Jennings
2	A Yes.
3	Q And as to Logan and the others?
4	A Logan Plaza, I'm not sure the
5	date on Logan Plaza. I'm not sure.
6	Q To the best of your recollection.
7	A I know that was a later job.
8	Logan came in after Charles H. so maybe
9	'91.
10	Q And Church Homes?
11	A Church Homes came through another
12	accounting firm.
13	Q Do you recall the name of that
14	firm?
15	A No, I don't.
16	Q Approximately when was that?
17	A That was probably around 1990.
18	Q Now, did that firm dissolve or
19	something and transfer the account or
20	did someone simply recruit the account
21	from that firm?
22	A I believe it was recruited. I
23	can't speak on behalf of the deceased.
24	Q Do you have any idea, was that
25	Mr. Goldman again?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

```
W. H. Jennings
     Seavey who is a defendant in this action
        Q. When, if you recall, did you
 6
     first meet them?
        A Probably 1990.
 8
        Q Do you recall the circumstances
 9
     in which you were first introduced to
10
     them?
11
        A I was introduced to them by Mr.
12
     Goldman because I became his manager.
13
        Q Was that at the time that you
14
    began your business relationship with
15
     them?
16
17
           Did you ever have a conversation
18
    with Mr. Shron about Robert Seavey or
19
    Phyllis Seavey?
20
           Did William Shron ever indicate
21
    to you that he knew Robert Seavey in
22
23
    1973?
24
           Now, in the years that you first
```

W. H. Jennings came to represent the Seaveys in these four developments, in what capacity did you work with them? A Well, originally I supervised the jobs and then as I worked my way up I became a manager for Mr. Goldman. I became a partner January 1, 1991. Mr. 9 Goldman passed away on October 23, 1991 10 and I succeeded him as the partner on 11 those accounts. 12 Q What services, if any, did you provide to the Seaveys in these 13 14 developments? A Auditing, tax returns, tax 15 16 projections, RPIE, real property income 17 and expense reports, certioraris. Q Now, this real property income 18 expense projections, what does that 19 20 consist of? 21 A It's a statement to, I believe. 22 it's the City of New York to give them 23 the detailed information on the income 24 and expenses of the development for purposes of them to set the tax base,

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings 2 A I don't know off the top of my . 3 . head. 4. Q To the best of your recollection. 5. A Two hundred, maybe. 6 What other developments? 7 Sand Realty, S&H Realty, Bethune 8 Towers, B-E-T-H-U-N-E. I have 236 9 clients to it's hard for me to recollect 10 specifically the ones I handled for the 11 Seaveys. 12 Q Are you aware that at some 13 previous time we submitted an 14 interrogatory to your attorneys asking 15 for a list of the developments owned by. 16 the Seaveys that Marks Paneth & Shron 17 serviced? Are you aware of that request? 18 A No. 19 20. Q If I leave a space are you able to supply to us within ten days --21 MR. KELLY: I'm comfortable 22 23 with that request. -- the names of each development 24 serviced by Marks Paneth & Shron with

W. H. Jennings z real estate tax base. It's required. 3 .MR. KELLY: Just to clarify, 4 your question was the Seaveys? MR. HAYWOODE: I'm sorry? 5 6 MR. KELLY: Your original question had to do with the work 8 performed for the Seaveys? 9 MR. HAYWOODE: For the Seaveys 10 with regard to these. 11 developments. MR. KELLY: With regards to 12 13 these developments, okay. 14 Well, as Mr. Kelly raises it, did 15 you do work for the Seaveys with regard 16 to any other developments prior to this? 17 18 What were those developments? 19 University Riverview. All their 20 real estate work. Anything that required auditing -- . University 21 Riverview -- because that's my 22 23 expertise. Q How many units at University 24 Riverview?

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

> > 16

regard to the Seaveys. 2 3 (INSERT): 4 MR. TRAUB: I just want to 5 state on the record since you 6 brought that up that we did object that request on the 8 grounds of relevancy. I believe 9 you raised that same request with 10 Judge Baer. Although Judge Baer 11 did not make a ruling he also 12 . questioned the relevancy on that 13 matter so I just want to renew my objection for the record on that 14 request and we don't need to get 15 16 in an argument on it. 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

MR. HAYWOODE: Yes, I recall Judge Baer saying what was the relevancy of it. I recall making a statement to him and I recall him looking and saying, "well, okay, go ahead," indicating to my view that, yes, okay, I hear what you're saying.

MR. TRAUB: Just for the

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

.21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

19

record, I agree that he may have asked the relevancy. I agree you made a statement as to what you believe the relevancy was. I don't recall him saying okay, go ahead but --

MR. HAYWOODE: But I clearly recall it.

MR. TRAUB: Again, we're going to have a difference of opinion on that one but I don't want to get sidetracked. I just want to put my objection on the record.

Q Some of these developments that you're mentioning, they're not Michelama or developments such as Lakeview or Charles Hill; is that correct? Some of the developments you've represented the Seaveys in, they're just ordinary --

A Some of them are, some of them aren't, yes. I have a real estate tax expertise. They're all real estate.

Q Is it fair to say that you represent Phyllis and Robert Seavey in

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings -2 information? A No. 3. 4 Q No one else? 5 A Not to my knowledge. 6 Q So that how would one determine 7: that if it became an issue that had to be answered, how would that be 8 9 furthered? 5 1 March 10. A I don't understand. 11 Q In other words, what do you have .12 to do, where would you have to go to 13 find out how many Seavey developments 14 Marks Paneth & Shron represent? .15 No, I have a client list. 16 Q Oh, so you would just have to 17 look at that list; is that correct? 18 A That's correct. Q And that list is maintained in 19 20 the office? A Correct. 21 Q So that it would be possible then 22 - 23 for you to provide it in the ten days that we mentioned, right? 24

W. H. Jennings fourteen developments that are Michelama 3 or type development? MR. TRAUB: Just for the record, you're including the four partnerships that are at issue in this section? MR. HAYWOODE: Yes, including the four that are here. 10 It's possible. 11 0 You have ten others? 12 It's possible. 13 o You mean you might have twelve 14 others? 15 A I might have six others. 16 Q We sent requests for the 17 production of information to your attorneys and we've requested, among 18 - 19 other things, a listing of these developments and did that information 20 21 ever come to you? 22 A No. 23 Q Is there anybody else at Marks 24 Paneth & Shron who would have received

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

that request who might know the

W. H. Jennings

- 20

MR. KELLY: And as I said, I was comfortable with that

MR. TRAUB: And again, I made an objection to it.

Q Now, let's see, what is GAGAS, the Yellow Book?

A Generally accepted government auditing standards.

Q Are you familiar with provision 3.13, Mr. Jennings?

A No.

25

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let me read it to you.

Before an audit organization agrees to perform non audit services it should carefully consider the requirements of paragraph 3.04. The auditors should avoid situations that could lead reasonable third parties with knowledge of the relevant facts and circumstances to conclude that auditors are not able to maintain independence in conducting audits.

Have you ever heard of that?

1 W. H. Jennings 21 Α 0 You're fully familiar with that? Yes. 5 Q And your organization functions in accordance with the GAGAS Yellow Book requirements? A Absolutely. Q In all respects? 10 A We have a quality review 11 department specifically designed for 12 that. 13 Q Within Marks Paneth & Shron? 14 Within Marks Paneth & Shron. Α 15 Q Is there any external review? 16 Yes, we have peer review. 17 Peer review by other agencies? 18 Α 19 Q · Other accountants? 20 . A Yes. 21 Who does that peer review? 22 A I couldn't tell you. It was just. 23 completed about a month ago, our latest 24 peer review. 25 Q Do you change the reviewer from

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE . 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings might compromise an auditor in . 3 performing his function as to his judgment? . 4 A Well, one of the biggest issues I 5 know is that if they owe you a б significant amount of fees my profession has taken the position that that issue may compromise the auditor's judgment. Q Is there anything else that might 10. 11 compromise an auditor's judgment 12 typically? 13 A I guess certain relationships with the clients. 14 Q Such as what? 15 A Such as what? I can't think of 16 17 anything offhand. Q Let me continue reading 3.13. 18 19 Audit organizations should not 20 provide non audit services that involve performing management functions or 21 making management decisions and audit 22. 23 organizations should not audit their own 24 work or provide non audit services in 25 situations where the non audit services

W. H. Jennings 2 year to year? 3 A Yes, 4 What is the purpose that you 5 change the reviewer from year to year? A Really I believe, I don't know because the quality review department handles that, but I would assume it's to maintain some kind of independent 10 opinion on our peer review. 11 Q So this is done in the interest 12 of independence? A I believe so. 1.3 14 0 What is the urgency of independence in the function of the 15 auditor? 16 A Well, I think my profession wants 17 to insure that accountants are 1,8 independent with respect to the audit. 19 Q For what reason, sir? 20 A To prevent any issues that may 21 22 come up or any outside influences that 23 may influence the auditor to compromise his judgment in performing the audit. 24 25 Q. What are some of the things that

22

24

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

are significant/material to the subject. matter of audits. If the audit organization makes the determination that the non audit service does not violate these principles it should ... comply with all the safeguards stated in paragraph 3.17, which we won't go ... through at this point.

3.14 says audit organizations should not perform management functions, make management decisions or create a situation that impairs the audits organization's independence, both in fact and in appearance.

You're familiar with those regulations?

A Yes.

1

5 .

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And Marks Paneth & Shron operate and have always operated pursuant to those guidelines and regulations?
- A Yes.
- Q And specifically with regard to the developments that we are concerned with, the immediate four and the other

1 W. H. Jennings ten, assuming that is the number, Marks

Paneth & Shron have always followed that rule; is that correct? A Yes.

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

. 3

4

5

6

-7

В

. . 9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

MR. TRAUB: I'm just going to object to the extent that your question insinuates that the other ten are part of this case. MR. HAYWOODE: I will recognize and note it for the record that you have a continuing

MR. TRAUB: Okay.

objection.

MR. HAYWOODE: It's for the mention of the other ten.

MR. TRAUB: It's not the mention.

MR. HAYWOODE: But I'm going to get them in if it takes all

MR. TRAUB: My objection as noted on the record, was not to your mentioning the other ten, it was to the extent that your

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

> > W. H. Jennings

enough to jeopardize your independence?

A A year's worth of fees.

Q What were the fees that Marks Paneth & Shron received?

A Each audit was approximately \$24,000 for the audit.

Q Each building charged \$24,000 for each audit?

A Correct

Q If I suggest to you that the Lakeview contract was for \$34,000, would that refresh your recollection?

A Yes, Lakeview was DHCR, Division of Housing and Community Renewal.

Q Now, Mr. Jennings, you know Orley Cameron and Ms. Griffiths and Mr. Pryce?

A I spoke to them on the phone.

Q. On the phone?

A To Orley and Ms. Griffiths. Barran Ang

Q Okay.

A We had an issue on Logan.

Q And you're aware that in the beginning of March of 2007 they were trying to get certain information to

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

2

3

4

6

8

Q

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

..2

. 3

4

6

8

..9

.10

.11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

.20

21

22

23

24

25

W. H. Jennings

question implied that the other ten were concerned in this case when I'll just note that the caption in this case relates to four of the --

MR. HAYWOODE: We can stipulate, Darren, that the other ten are not presently parties in this action.

MR. TRAUB: Again, I'm not looking for a stipulation, I'm just objecting to the form of the question. Again, not meaning to get sidetracked on any arguments or long-winded statements here.

Q Has any of the four developments ever owed Marks Paneth & Shron monies at the end of any particular fiscal year?

Yes. A

Q When did that occur and how much: money was it, if you remember?

A I don't recall. It wasn't enough to jeopardize our independence.

Q Well, how much money would not be

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

assist John Edmonds.

Have you met John Edmonds? A. Yes, many times. I know John well.

Q And you know they were trying to get information from the audit?

Q The audit they were doing?

A Yes.

Q Did you receive communications from them concerning data that they wished to get?

A Yes.

And without pointing to them, because they're part of the pleading that the lawyers have exchanged, is it fair to say that they were making requests for documentation, various samplings of the ledger, the general ledger, that was maintained by Dalton Management, did it come to your attention anything like that?

A I don't know. I wasn't there.

Q You know Mr. Ron Dawley?

1 W. H. Jennings 29 2 Yes. 3 Who is he? 4 A He's the controller at Dalton 5 Q How long has Mr. Dawley, to your knowledge, functioned as a controller 7 for Dalton Management? 9 A I believe since about 2000. 10 And you've worked with him? 11 Yes. 12 Now, tell me, in performing the 13 audit function, what exactly is it that 14 Marks Paneth & Shron do in conjunction 15 with or as opposed to Dalton Management. 16 what do you do? A We receive their year-end 17 18 package, we call it, which includes their general ledger, usually an ... 19 accounts payable subsidiary ledger, 20 21 accounts receivable subsidiary ledger. At that point we plan the audit, 22 23 determine what confirmations have to be mailed out, we have what's called a

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

brainstorming session with the staff

2

3 4

5

6

.7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

.20

21

22

23

24

25

W. H. Jennings generally? A About four weeks. Q. And you perform those functions for the four buildings involved in this action for the last ten years or so? A Marks Paneth does, yes. Q Did it come to your attention, Mr. Jennings, after the Cameron, Pryce & Griffiths firm began its audit that they were of the impression that they were not receiving information from which they could proceed with their sampling? Did that come to your attention? A I received an information request. Q Approximately when was that, if you recall? A I know it was in May so May of --I'm pretty sure it was in May so whatever year that was. Q What, if any, information did they ask you for? A The initial request was on Logan Plaza.

W. H. Jennings 2 involved. 3 Q Is that your staff, Mr. Jennings? That's correct, my staff. 4 5 0 How many people do you work with in doing that from your staff? There's fourteen. Go ahead. At that point I suggest to them 10 what areas I would like to focus on 11 based on what's called a flux review 12 which is a fluctuation review from last 13 year to this year. We look at the prior year's financial statements if there 14. 15 were any issues, compliance issues that need to be addressed in the current year 16 17 then my staff goes out in the field, 18 does some sampling and comes back to the 19 office. Afterwards, we discuss any 20 findings or proposed adjustments and then we usually draft an audit and wrap 21 22 it up. Well, it goes to quality review. 23 It has to go through QR. I am not the 24 final say. 25 Q How long does that process take,

> LEX. REPORTING, SERVICE 800-608-6085

> > W. H. Jennings

32

2	Q What information, if any, did
3	they ask you for?
. 4	A I don't know specifically. The
5	only issue that stands out is the
6	amortization of the cancellation of debt
7-	income which we had a discussion about.
. 8	Q What is a trial balance?
. 9	A A trial balance is a, if you want
10	to say, balances of accounts that come
11	off the general ledger and that's how we
12	do our flux review.
13	Q So that Marks Paneth & Shron
. 14	would work from trial balances?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And in the ten years that you:
17	worked with the four defendant
18	corporations here or any other
19	corporation where Dalton Management was
20	involved did Dalton provide you with a
21	trial balance to the work that they had
22	done?
23	A Yes, I believe they did.
24	Q Do you personally know that?
25	A No.

1	W. H. Jennings 33
2	Q Who are the members of your staff
3	who would know that?
4	A Probably my manager.
5	Q Who is that?
. 6	A Joanne Chiu, C-H-I-U.
7	Q Would it refresh your
8	recollection if I say to you that Mr.
9	Cameron had written or had advised you
10	at any time that Dalton Management
11	didn't have trial balances?
12	A No.
13	Q That never came to your
14	attention?
. 15	A No.
16	Q Would you be able to audit the
17	books and records of any entity that
18	didn't keep or run trial balances?
19 .	A Yes.
20	Q What would you have to do in
21	order to do that?
22	A We'd have to use alternative
23	procedures.
24	Q Which would be what?
25	A Use the general ledger as their
	——————————————————————————————————————

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W. H. Jennings 35
2	A No. it's not uncommon.
ં.3. . ્	
4 5	
· ·	Q. Is it desirable or preferable
6	that accountants use trial balances?
7	A Yes, it's desirable.
8	Q Why would it be desirable?
9	A Because we want to compare it to
10	the prior year to plan the audit.
11	Q And in terms of sampling various
12	transactions the trial balance would
13	also be helpful?
14	A It's one tool that we use.
15	Q So that you'd rather see it than
16	not; is it fair to say that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q But it had never come to your
19	attention that Mr. Cameron was stating
2.0	that he did not see trial balances in
21	the work done by Dalton Management; am I
22	correct?
23	A That's correct.
4	
5	and the state of t
	remember, did Mr. Cameron or his

1 W. H. Jennings 2 trial balance. Q Am I correct in assuming, I'm not an accountant, am I correct in assuming that you would have to go back to square one? Α 0 And work from square one to recreate your trial balances? A Absolutely not. 10 11 How would you --0 12 A They have ending numbers in each 13 account and all you do is take those 14 ending numbers and put it in a comparison schedule with the prior year. 15 Q So that if you were not presented 16 17 with a trial balance by the accounting 18 company would that not make your job any 19 more difficult or would it make it more 20 difficult? 21 A No effect. 22 Q No effect whatsoever? 23 A No effect whatsoever. 24 Q You wouldn't have to do more work because there were no trial balances?

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

```
W. H. Jennings
    associates come to you or contact you or
    make inquiries of you with regard to the
    audit they were performing; do you
                                    recall?
       A The history that I recall is that
    they made an information request on
    Logan. We responded within three days.
    Q When you say, "we responded," who
    actually responded?
10
       A Marks Paneth & Shron.
       Q. What was the inquiry, if you
. 12
    recall, that they made on Logan?
13
14
       A I don't recall.
15
         MR. KELLY: Did you finish
16
         your answer to the question?
17
          THE WITNESS: No, I didn't
         finish.
18
19
       MR. KELLY: Do you mind if he
         finished his answer?
20
21
          MR. HAYWOODE: Sure.
22
      A 'Subsequent' to that I had a
23
   subsequent inquiry on Logan from Mr.
24
   Cameron's firm and at that point I felt
   in order to expedite this I called him
```

2

.2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 20

21

- 22

23

-24

2

3

6.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I spoke to him about that it would

be much easier for us and expeditious

and less costly if we just, I knew he

was looking at several jobs and I felt

questions on all the jobs that when he

was finished with his review or audit I

engagement was but once he was completed

effective if we just sat down at a table

and went through everything at one shot

questions they had we could probably

At that point we had a discussion

The next communication that I

it was important that if he had

wasn't aware of exactly what their

with that that it would be cost

with the managing agent, whatever

about a cancellation of debt in the

associate came in at that point.

amortization of debt. I remember that

conversation clearly. I believe his

recall receiving was a demand for

additional information by a date in

resolve in one sitting.

1

2

3

. 4

5

б

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 23

24

. 8

get this otherwise we have to -- it was somewhat a threatening letter which I took exception to because I believe very

W. H. Jennings

strongly we never withheld anything to the extent we had it.

At that point in time I called Mr. Orley's office and I asked to speak to him. He wasn't available. I left a message with his secretary. He hadn't gotten back to me so then I called --

Q Not to interrupt you and please pick up later, but when you say, "a threatening letter," what did the letter SAV?

. A Well, it demanded information by a certain date otherwise they were going to take further action.

Q What was the action?

They were going to tell their client that they were unable to get any information from my firm.

Q And you view that as a threat?

A I do because I never did anything to hold back information but let me

...I.RX - REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

November on all the jobs that we have to

W. H. Jennings

39

finish.

Q. Please, go ahead.

A At that point in time I was troubled because I felt I had a very good relationship with Mr. Edmonds. called him. I spoke to his secretary; . she gave me his telephone number. I. called Mr. Edmonds, I spoke to him personally. I explained the situation to him and I told him, "John I'm perfectly willing to have this information put together but I'm going away for two weeks." I'm involved with an international accounting firm. I even told him I could send him my itinerary. I'm going to be gone for two weeks. There is no way I could respond for this date. It is impossible.

Q Yes, you were going to Europe, as I recall. ...

A Yes, and he said to me, "don't worry about it. I'll speak to my accountants and just relax, it's okay," and that was really the last

LEX REPORTING SERVICE-800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

communication that I had and at that ... point I left instructions for my staff to look through the information request. in my absence to the extent that we had the information available because a lot of the documents we don't have but to the extent available, prepare all the information and when I got back I would look at it and that was it.

MR. HAYWOODE: , I'm going to ask that these two letters be marked for identification by the reporter.

(A letter dated May 10, 2007 to Dalton Management was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 for identification, as of this date.) (A response on May 15, 2007 to Ron Dawley from William. Jennings was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 for identification, as of this date.)

Seven; the accumulated

amortization cost, the supporting

documentation schedule in account 1535.

doubtful account, the supporting detail

in account number 1131. Provide the

Do you recall receiving

MR. KELLY: I'm going to

question to the extent you called

object to the form of that

financial statements implying

that they were his or Marks

Paneth & Shron's financial

MR. KELLY: Correct.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

statements as opposed to the --

Well, first of all, sir, do you

MR. HAYWOODE: So you object

it your accounts or your

general ledger for your financial

In eight, the allowance for

your account 2120.

Plaintiff's 1?

4

5

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

W. H. Jennings

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

.24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q In Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce are requesting with regard to distribution the basis and supporting documentation for the journal entries closing out the retained earnings account and adjusting the partner capital account.

They inquire secondly about deferred income, the information to support the canceled debt recorded in the financial statement.

Three, with regard to mortgages they ask for the loan agreements to support all three mortgages.

The fourth is loan payable, the supporting documents for loan payable in accordance with your account number 2340 of your financial statement.

Five, accounts payable, the details to support accounts payable to accounts 2110 of your financial statement.

Six, the accrued expenses that detail to support accrued expenses in

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings

Ä Yes.

Q When you read those words what did you understand them to be asking for: your financial statement, Marks Paneth & Shron's financial statement or the general ledger that Dalton maintained?

A The general ledger that Dalton maintained.

Q So that it's fair to say that on the face of it it appears that Cameron Griffiths & Pryce made a request of Dalton for information that was on the general ledger; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q. And that was on May 10th; is that: correct?

A Correct.

Q Now I show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 which is a communication from you to Ron Dawley.

A Correct.

Q. And I'm going to read it. One, distribution adjustment.

This was made to agree opening capital. . 2 Postings to close out the prior year net. ...3 income are different for GAAP than the current computer system. Two, deferred income, see note

five. This occurred in 2002 with the old limited partner. The amount was amortized for GAAP but picked up as income in 2002 by the old ninety eight percent limited partner. See attached 2002 tax return in K1 as requested by the limited partner.

Three, see attachment. Four, loan payable, see attachment number four and note five Five, accounts payable, see attachment number five. Note that this includes unpaid management fees prior to

2000 that due to project financial hardship at that time were not taken to: help the project's cash flow. These fees were not extra fees but those allowable.

Six and eight, see attachments.

9

10

11.

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to form?

recall receiving the letter?

W. H. Jennings

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

3

4

5

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

Ġ

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 24

the response to Ron Dawley, which is Plaintiff's 2?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A I don't know.

Roughly, if you had to recall?

I don't know.

. Q Would it have taken two or three days, four days, ten days?

Don't know. Depends on the compilation of information from my staff.

Let me direct your attention to the dates on those letters. One was May 10th on which Dawley received it and

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

responded so assuming in less . than five days or much less Bill Jennings responded; is it fair to say that?

MR. TRAUB: Again, I'm not the one answering the questions here. I'm just making my objection for the record.

MR. HAYWOODE: Your objection is noted and I've corrected it.

Q Is it fair to say that in this space, and I don't know if a Saturday and Sunday intervened there, but between the 10th and the 15th of May you responded to that information?

A Yes.

Q And you responded in detail; is, that correct?

A Yes. Now, had it come to your attention that Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce had been making requests to Dalton Management for things like trial balances and documentations of support

W. H. Jennings

your response was five days later: is that correct?

> MR. TRAUB: I'm going to object to the extent that you're surmising that on May 10th that Dalton received it. On May 10th is the date of the letter but not necessarily reflective of the date that Dalton received it.

MR. HAYWOODE: I'm accordingly corrected there. If it was transmitted the 10th so then Dalton might not have received it until the 11th or 12th or even the 13th or the 14th if it was a Saturday and Sunday or if it was a holiday intervening. They might not have received it until, well ---

MR. TRAUB: Certainly before the 15th.

MR. HAYWOODE: We know that Bill Jennings received it by the 15th because that's when he

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

> > W. H. Jennings

48

and all nature of routine documentation and that they had been told by Dawley you have to go to Marks Paneth & Shron to get that; did that come to your attention?

A No.

Q Dawley never sent them to you?

A No.

Q Did it come to your attention. that someone at Marks Paneth & Shron at one point said to Cameron, Pryce: & 1000 Griffiths that if you want information, for instance, concerning the employee or personal records or the allocation of monies paid to Dalton personnel by each corporation you have to pay Marks Paneth 6 Shron to obtain that information, did that ever come to your attention?

A No.

Q Did you ever tell Dalton Management that?

.....

A No.

Did anyone, to your knowledge, in 0 Marks Paneth & Shron ever convey that

1 W. H. Jennings 2 thought to Dalton Management? 3 A No, we had discussed payment of the fees to put all this information 4 together with Ron Dawley. 5 Q So that you stated to Dawley that 7 Marks Paneth & Shron would charge the partnership? A No. 10 Q Well, who was going to pay for. 11 the accumulation of this information, to 12 your knowledge? ... 13 A I don't know. That's the. question I had. 14 Q You had the question at the time 15 -1.6 and what, if anything, did you do about having that question; did you 17 communicate that thought to anybody? . 18 19 λ Just to Ron. 20 Q What, if anything, did you say to 21 him? x^{\pm} . pA I just said, "we're accumulating 22 23 a lot of time on these jobs. You have 24: to find out who is going to be 25 responsible for payment of this "

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W. H. Jennings 51
. 2 .3	A Yes. Q Pease, sir
4	A This or any other job we sample
	and make inquiries as to the validity of
6.	the numbers presented to us by
7	management.
8	Q Okay, and you don't go out and
. 9	start in January 1st and then work
10	through December 31st and check every
.11	single thing that they do?
12	A Absolutely not.
13	Q And to your information that
14	wasn't what Cameron, Pryce & Griffiths
15	were doing either; is that correct?
16	A I am not aware of what they were
17	doing.
18	Q But generally, if you were asked
19	to, well, do an audit as you did it
20	would be standard procedure for an
21	auditor to say, look, I'm going to
22	choose selected areas, you testified to
23	that before; is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And I'm going to look at the
	<u></u>

```
W. H. Jennings
 2
           And what did he say to you?
        Q
 3
           "Okay."
        Q Is it fair to say that in the
 4
    wake of that conversation he advised the
    accountants that if you want that
    information you have to pay for it?
 Я
        A I don't know.
 9
        Q You have no idea?
10
11
           Now, once again, you testified to
    the role of the audit and you say it is
12
    to send out perhaps fourteen assistants,
13
    perhaps more or less, and to sample the
14
    work of the accountant on the job; is
15
16
    that correct?
17
            MR. KELLY: I'm going to
18
           object to the form.
19
             I'm not sure that's exactly
20
           what he testified but I'll let
21
           him answer.
22
           Do you understand my question in
       Q
23
    that form?
       A I believe so, yes.
24
          Can you answer it?
25
```

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

```
W. H. Jennings
     profile from last year and I'm going to
 . 2
     test in certain areas to see if those
 3.
     numbers are coming up true and it's like
. . 4
 .5
     the Internal Revenue Service, right?
 6
     They look at your return and they say.
 7
     well, we're going to look at this one,
     and we might not necessarily go through
 8
 9
     every single thing; is that correct?
     A Yes, but there are certain
10
     requirements that we're responsible for
·11.
12
     to look at internal controls to make
. 13
     sure they're functioning.
14
     Q You look at contracts too?
15
       A Contracts.
           To see that there's compliance
16
      Q.
17
     with the contracts and the vendors?
18
     A Correct, we may actually have
     copies of those, request copies of those
19
20
     from management to have in our files.
        Q With regards to, I believe it was
21
     Lakeview or Logan, was there a security
22
23
    company functioning there without any
24
    contract, to your recollection?
        A Not to my recollection.
```

1	W. H. Jennings 53
2	Q Are you aware that we requested
3	the production of the contract for a
4.	security company which was not produced
5	as yet but you know nothing about that?
6	A No.
7	Q So so far as you're concerned
8	everyone functioning at these four
9	developments had a contract at least; is
10	that correct?
.11	A To my knowledge, based on our
12	procedures.
13	Q Now, in performing the audit all
- 14	Marks Paneth & Shron would do is come
15	and sample information provided by
16	Dalton; is that correct?
17	A Sample, test, confirm, inquire.
18	Q And send for quality review?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q And everything that Marks Paneth
21	& Shron looks at would be something that
22	Dalton Management produced and
23	maintained; is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	MR. KELLY: If I may talk to

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W. H. Jennings 55
2.	Q That's what he did?
. 3	A For what?
4	Q You're saying that that's the
5	attitude, I'm characterizing it
. 6	colorfully, that's not your testimony,
7	but for the most part that's what he
8	did, he just said let Marks Paneth &
9	Shron deal with it?
10.	A Very common in my industry.
.11	Q And if the accountant had all
12	this information at his fingertips why
13	would he tell a partner for whom he
14	worked that go bother the auditors? Why
15	would he do that if he had it?
16	A Why would who?
17	Q Dawley or anybody working for
18 ·	Dalton. Why would they even want the
19	auditors approached with that?
20	A Lazy. My industry, it's not
21	uncommon. I have clients who are
22	controllers and owners and say, you
23	know, do you have a copy of this because
24	I don't want to open my file. I don't
25	want to walk ten feet to get it. It's a

```
W. H. Jennings
2
           the witness?
3
               MR. HAYWOODE: No.
               MR. KELLY: I'll tell you what
 5
           I'm going to talk to him about.
 6
               MR. HAYWOODE: I prefer you
           don't at this point.
               MR. KELLY: All right.
               MR. HAYWOODE: I mean, we can
                a break but let me take a
10
11
           little while here.
12
           Why, if you know, would Ron
13
    Dawley have to go to Marks Paneth &
14
    Shron to get information in response to
15
    Plaintiff's 1 which should have been in
16
    his possession?
17
           Beats the heck out of me. I'll
    be honest with you. I'll call it lazy.
18
       Q So that what you're indicating is
19
20
    that Ron Dawley had all this information
    but he said why should I hurt myself if.
22
    I can pass this on to Marks Paneth &
23
    Shron and let them exercise themselves
24
    for money?
           Yes.
```

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

```
W. H. Jennings
                                             56
. 2
     very common practice.
 3
        Q Mr. Jennings, this wasn't the
    only time that Ron Dawley referred these
 5
    accountants to you; was it? They
 6
    repeatedly referred them to you; isn't
7
    that correct?
       Α.
 9
           And the accountant sent letters,
10
    I'm not going to introduce them at this
11:
    time but I believe everyone has received
12
    them in the pleadings in the order to
13
    show causes exhibits, they sent letters
14
    saying we can't get to first base here.
15
    There is no trial balance. The
16
    documentation and support for the audit
17
    that we're trying to complete they were
18
    commissioned to do audits on two or
19
    three years and they couldn't even get
20
    out a 2006. Did they tell you that?
21
22
        Q Well, did you wonder at the time
23
    when you said to John Edmonds, look, I'm
24
    going to Europe, I've got business,
    whatever, did you wonder why the
```

3

11

16

17

18

19

3

۸.

6

7.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

W. H. Jennings

5

auditors would have to wait for you to come back from Europe to get information that they could have gotten from Ron Dawley and Dalton Management? Did you wonder about that?

A No.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 :

25

2.

. 3

4

5

6

.8

. 9

10

11.

: 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24.

25

- Q I mean, laziness is one thing, right, but didn't this seem beyond laziness to you that Dalton was constantly sending these people to Marks Shron, the auditor, in order to do this?

 A No, it's not unusual in my industry.
- Q And that Dawley and Dalton were content to say, "don't bother us," we don't have it. Fight with Marks Shron, they have it"?
- A It's not uncommon.
- Q But suffice to say that you did have the information to respond on May 15th, 2007?
 - A That's correct.
- . Q And you say that Ron Dawley had

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

59

MR. TRAUB: I'm just going to object to the form of the question and the commentary in there that does misstate Judge Baer's directives and Dalton's responses to any written discovery request that were propounded pursuant to federal civil procedure 33 and 34.

- O If these accountants were to say that Ron Dawley said to them he didn't have this detail they would either be mistaken or lying, to your knowledge; is that correct?
- A Who would be mistaken or lying?
- Q These accountants, Cameron Griffiths a Pryce. If they said that Ron Dawley said to them that he just didn't have this information, that you've got to go Marks Paneth a Shron to get it, they would either be mistaken or lying; is that correct, to your knowledge?

A No.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings

. .

- A Yes, he should have.
- Q He should have had it?
- A Yes, because mortgages, documents like that, proposed journal entries, he has them. We get the information from him.
 - Q And you keep it?
- A We have to.
 - Q Supporting documents?
 - A We have to.
- 12 O But you would only keep
 13 supporting documents on particular areas
 14 where you audited and sampled; is that
 15 correct?
 - A Not necessarily. We need mortgage documents that were maybe twenty years old. We keep them in our permanent files.
- 20 Q Are you aware that these
 21 accountants made a request for a series
 22 of documents before Judge Baer for
 23 documents that were not provided or that
 24 Dalton suggested that they just didn't
 25 have?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

- Q Was it possible that Dawley didn't have any of this?
 - A No.
- Q What makes you certain that. Dawley didn't have any of these documents or details?
- A We get our documents from the managing agent. We do not create documents. Our documentation is received from the managing agent. I wasn't privy to the conversation. I don't know what the discussion was between them. I cannot give you an answer as to what transpired between them
- Q You didn't bring with you today your financial statement report; did you?
 - A No.
- Q I don't want to mark it at this point but I'm going to read from the independent auditors report about Marks Paneth's Shron, not the entire thing but the third paragraph where you say, "in

W. H. Jennings 61 2 our opinion the financial statements 3 referred to above present fairly in all 4 material respects the financial position of Fifth and 106th Street Association as of December 31st 2006 and 2005 and the results of its operation and its cash flows from year end of December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles 10 generally accepted in the United States 11 of America." The document is dated 12 September 14, 2007, entitled Independent 13 Auditors Report. Would that fairly represent the representation by Marks 14 Paneth & Shron's report? 15 16 A Yes. 17 Q These audit reports, they're 18 provided to the United States Private Housing and Urban Development? 19 20 A Which job are you referring to? 21 The four corporations that we're concerned with. 22 23 A Different reporting agencies. 24 Q . What agency received these 25 reports?

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings 2 make it up? . 3 A No. 4 Q They don't contact you? 5 A No, very rarely. 6 You never submit any documents to HUD and to DHCR or any other agency? 7 A. We do an electronic filing for 8 9 HUD. State Commence An electronic filing? 10 A Yes, HUD requires if they have an 11 12 insured mortgage that the financial 13 statement be electronically filed. 14 Q Is that by wire? 15 Internet. 16 I'm going to show you a document 17 which I believe is contained in the 18 report that you submitted at least to DHCR and ask you if you recognize it? ... 19 20 MR. TRAUB: Is it this entire thing? 21 22 MR. HAYWOODE: No, just that 23 page. 24 MR. TRAUB: Just this one page?

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings 2 Some of them had to be reported 3 to HUD. 4 Q Housing and Urban Development. 5 And Fifth and 106th Street is 6 Division of Housing, DHCR. 7 Q Division of Housing and Community 8 Renewal? q Correct. 10 Any other agencies receive these 11 audit reports? 12 A No, not to my knowledge. 13 Do they receive them in one copy or do they receive multiple copies? 14 15 A I don't know. The final 16 financials are transmitted to the 17 managing agent. 18 Q Of the developments? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And then the developments communicate them to these agencies? .21 22 A Yes. 23 Q Do the agencies ever contact Marks Paneth & Shron to confirm you 24 really did this right and they didn't

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings 64 2 MR. HAYWOODE: Yes. 3 And this will be Exhibit 3. 4 MR. HAYWOODE: This will be 5. three, yes. б (A certified annual financial 7 and operating report was 8 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 9 3 for identification, as of. this date.) 10. 11 (A cumulative general ledger was marked as Plaintiff's . 12 13 Exhibit 4 for identification, as of this date.) - 14 15 What is Exhibit 3? 16 A statement of the audit fee, paid Marks Paneth & Shron. 17 18 Q Is it restricted to any 19 particular year? 20 A I don't know what year you're . 21 looking at. Q . The financial statement that I 22 read from was 2006. Would that refresh 23 your recollection if that would be for 24 20063

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

11

. 14

15

.16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 5

6 7 8

13

17 18

19 20 21

22 24

· . . 3 4. ٠5

12

11

25

4

13 14 15

It could be 2006. It could be 2005 It could be 2007.

W. H. Jennings

MR. TRAUB: Just to make the record clear, do you want to just show him the whole packet? We don't have to mark it as an" exhibit but just so we can see which packet it came from and maybe that will help clarify what this statement is.

- Mr. Jennings, I show you this financial statement which has the date December 31st, 2006 and ask you if that refreshes your recollection, sir, that the statement comes from --
 - A Yes, I agree.
- Q In 2006 what was the fee paid to Marks Shron?
 - A The audit fee was \$34,155.
 - Q And that's --
 - A That's what was billed.
 - Q Did they pay that eventually?
 - A Eventually, yes.
 - Q Did they pay it timely?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

which is a cumulative general ledger which recites among other items that account number 16220 sums of money paid to a vendor?

A Yes.

MR. KELLY: Mel, just so the record's clear, at least my copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 has some handwritten notes on it, some circles and some fees. Can we --

MR. TRAUB: I didn't know if that

introducing it for the circles introducing it for the printed matter.

MR. TRAUB: Just so the record was clear, I just wanted to ask if you knew who those markings came from.

MR. HAYWOODE: No idea.

MR. HAYWOODE: I'm not. and comments. I'm only

MR. HAYWOODE: Well, it wasn't

A I don't recollect.

Q Now, this financial statement was submitted to the Department of Housing and Community Renewal?

W. H. Jennings

A That's correct.

Q And it was submitted to Housing and Urban Development?

A That I don't know. I don't believe so.

Q But at the time that you prepared that document and sent it to the management or to whomever you sent it. you knew that it would be received at some point by the Department of Housing and Community Renewal?

A That's correct.

Q And that it might be reviewed by Housing and Urban Development; you knew that possibility?

A Possibility, yes.

Q And as you look at that document today do you stand by the truth of it?

A Yes.

Q Now, I show you Plaintiff's 4

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings

done in my presence. I don't know. Perhaps the accountants did it. I don't know. Maybe we have some children that hang out around here with pencils that might have done it but I doubt it.

> May we proceed, Darren? MR. TRAUB: Yes.

What is that document?

This is the general ledger.

Q Who's general ledger?

A Dalton's

With regard to item 16220 what, if anything, does it say?

A It shows the total amount paid Marks Paneth & Shron for that calendar year.

Q And that's 2006?

A Correct

Q What is the amount of money that the general ledger suggests was paid to Marks Paneth & Shron?

A \$108,525.

1	W. H. Jennings 69
2	Q And \$.45?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Which comes first, the general
5	ledger or the audit?
6	A The general ledger.
7	Q And you testified previously that
8	the audit is dependent on the general.
9	ledger for what it says; is that
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Is it a statement of truth that
13	Marks Paneth & Shron received in 2006
14	\$108,525.45?
15	A According to their cash basis
16	general ledger, yes.
17	Q But is the statement true? Is it
18	true?
19	A According to their general
.20	ledger, yes.
21	Q Well, sir, according to Marks
22	Paneth & Shron's records is it true that
23	they paid Marks Paneth & Shron
24	\$108,525.45 in 2006?
25	A I don't have Marks Paneth &

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

1 :	W. H. Jennings 71
2	said, Mr. Jennings. We, meaning Marks
3	Paneth & Shron, propose what, sir?
4	A Journal entries.
. 5	Q Journal entries. And what is a
6	journal entry?
7	A A journal entry is a proposal
8 · ·	that Marks Paneth & Shron makes, the
9.	auditor makes, to either correct or
10	reclassify or make the financial
11	statements in accordance with GAAP.
12	Q So that do you have an
13	independent recollection that that
14	request was made of Dalton Management in
15	this instance?
16	A No.
17	Q . Is there any written record of
18	such a request being made by Dalton
19	Management in this instance?
. 20	A We would propose journal entries
21	and transmit them to them.
22	Q Is it your recollection that you
23	actually transmitted a journal entry
24	request to Dalton in this instance?
25	A Yes, it would be.

2 3 So are you saying that there is a possibility this isn't true? It's possible, yes, This is a 7 As opposed to? The financial statements are on an accrual business. 10 On which of these bases, is the 11 accounting done by Dalton? 12 A This particular case their 13 general ledger says it's a cash basis. Q . I know with a corporation you 14 15 have to do an accrual basis; is that 16 correct? 17 A With a partnership, yes. 18 Q. Was there some determination made by the partnership that the cash basis 19 20 accounting would be converted to an accrual accounting? 21 22 A We proposed -- their journal 23 entries proposed to adjust the books and 24 records on any audit. Let me understand what you just. 25

W. H. Jennings

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

1	W. H. Jennings 7			
2	Q You're certain of that?			
3	A Fairly certain.			
4	Q I would call for the production			
5				
6	A It's standard procedure.			
7	Q But the maintenance of trial			
8	balances is standard procedure in many			
9	places too in accounting; isn't that			
10	correct?			
11	A Yes.			
. 12	Q But apart from what should have			
13	happened and what is standard procedure.			
14	do you have an independent recollection			
15	that a proposal for journal entry change			
16	was sent to Dalton by Marks Paneth &			
17	Shron? Do you have an independent			
18	knowledge of that?			
19	A No.			
20	Q Is that information you can			
21	retrieve from your records?			
22	A Yes.			
23	MR. HAYWOODE: I would call			
24	for the production of that			
25	proposal in ten days.			

W. H. Jennings

MR. TRAUB: Well, I would say that they were made available already when the audit work papers were made available for inspection and they would have been included as part of the audit work papers.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

10

11

. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

MR. HAYWOODE: You know, we have a dispute going before Judge Francis because the methodology of sending in boxloads isn't complying with discovery demands. At least I understand --

MR. TRAUB: Absolutely not.

MR. HAYWOODE: I understand
that may be your position.

MR. TRAUB: That's not even close to my position.

MR. HAYWOODE: Notwithstanding that it might have been supplied before are you able to singularly go and put your hand on this document and then to provide it to us in ten days, albeit a

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings

ut vou will

MR. HAYWOODE: But you will supply it within a reasonable time.

MR. KELLY: Or I will make the documents available again for you guys to find it.

MR. HAYWOODE: I'm going to call for the production of the documents and let's say --

MR. KELLY: We'll agree to discuss this afterwards.

MR. HAYWOODE: I appreciate, counsel, what you're telling me.

Q How does that work, Mr. Jennings, assuming that Marks Paneth & Shron received \$108,000 in 2006 in what way under the accrual system do you say that money should be reported or should be reflected in the financial statement?

A It appears to be for prior years, 2004, 2003, 2002. There was a three year tax exam. I did not handle that but I know that spanned three years. We received a note change. I don't know

١

2

3

4

5

14 15 16

2

3:

5

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

-14

115

16

17

-18

19

20

... 21

. 22

.23

25

. 24

W. H. Jennings

second time?

. .

MR. KELLY: I don't know the answer to that.

MR. TRAUB: Just so the record is clear, my objection was not to the production of documents through quote on quote boxloads of documents. My problem with your discovery responses was the lack of documents that I felt should have been in that box.

MR. HAYWOODE: Subjectively.

MR. TRAUB: Subjectively or at least a clarification that those documents did not exist. It was not to the method of production.

MR. KELLY: I will not be able to answer that until I review the documents back at my office.

MR. HAYWOODE: All right, so that you may need more than ten days.

MR. KELLY: Yes. I don't know, is my answer.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

7.6

what year that ended so typically those amounts would be accrued in a prior period.

Q So it's your testimony that Marks Paneth's Shron received a fee of \$34,000?

A For the audit.

Q And can I assume that that other seventy four somewhat thousand dollars was money that had accrued in previous years?

A It's possible, yes. It's very likely that it accrued in prior years,

Q Well, it would almost have to be a certainty; is that correct?

A It depends on when it was billed.

Q So that I call your attention
again to our discussion about GAGAS and
particularly your testimony concerning
accountants being owed large sums of
money by their audittee, if that's a
word, or the company that they're

auditing. Are you telling me that in 2006 when Marks Paneth & Shron sat down

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do an audit on what records Dalton Management produced to them that as they were doing it they were owed \$74,000 by the Seavey group or the four developments that we're concerned with?

- λ . Not the four developments. Fifth and 106th. This particular development.
- Q So that the other three may have owed money also; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.

2

3

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 .

3

4

5

-6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q So that it's fair to say that at the time that you were auditing Fifth and 106th Street Corporation in 2006 the Seavey group might have owed Marks Paneth & Shron \$100,000; is that correct?
 - A It's possible.
- Q Would you consider that to be material and substantial?
- A .It depends on what period those fees were for and it if was billed.
- Q Well, I direct your attention now to Plaintiff's 4 where I see years listed here and it started in 2001, 2004

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

improper terms, making the question itself misleading.

If you want to use the correct terms --

MR. HAYWOODE: The witness can answer. That's not a proper objection on 221. Are you directing him not to answer?

MR. KELLY: Objection as to form. If you restate the question using the correct terms and the correct dates then, yes.

- Q In 2006 when you submitted this audit to quality review in Marks Paneth & Shron were they aware that this audit was performed while these companies owed Marks Paneth & Shron anywhere from \$74,000 to over \$100,000? Were they aware of that?
 - A It wasn't outstanding.
- Q Were they aware that there was such a debt?
 - A There was no debt.
 - Q Well, were they aware that that

W. H. Jennings

tax analysis \$25,000, 2003 tax preparation \$7,800 and then July to June 2005 to 2006. So it appears that at least \$25,000 of this money was

outstanding since presumably January of 2001, sometime in 2001; is that correct?

A That may be when the tax exam

began but typically tax exams, unless it really builds up a tremendous amount of time, I mean hundreds of thousands of dollars, it wouldn't be billed until the conclusion of the tax examination.

Q Now, specifically with regard to the GAGAS rules and your quality review committee, was the quality review committee aware at that time that this audit was performed that a minimum of \$75,000 and possibly more than \$100,000 was owed by this client to Marks Paneth & Shron at the time you were doing an audit at their work?

MR. KELLY: I'm going to object to that. The form of that question is completely using

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

.

money had been paid to them and had accumulated from 2001?

MR. TRAUB: Objection. That mischaracterizes the prior testimony or the testimony of what this 2001 to 2004 tax analysis was. And just so we're clear, Mel, I am objecting not just to the form but to your insinuation that this was outstanding from 2001 when that is not what his testimony was.

MR. HAYWOODE: An objection to insinuation is not credible under 221. Lawyers can insinuate anything. And the tone of whatever insinuation I may make isn't coming across on this record.

MR. TRAUB: Which is why, Mel, I'm actually here to keep a clean record, as I know you are.

MR. HAYWOODE: Not as to tone and not as to insinuation.

Correct.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

. 23

24

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

W. H. Jennings

MR. TRAUB: Absolutely. As to prior testimony, to mischaracterization of prior testimony and to an insinuation of what my client's involvement was that something was out to 2001. He did not testify that this was outstanding in 2001 and your mischaracterization of either his testimony or the insinuation of what an act that my client did is in fact a proper objection. It's on the record.

Mr. Jennings, let's go back. What is all this telling us, the 2001, you tell me, I don't know, tax analysis 2001 to 2004, let's see, tax preparation 2003 \$7,800, various PR, what is that: promotions? In Exhibit 4, what is PR, 950920? What is that various PR? A. A. A.

- A I don't know.
- Q Do you have any idea?
- A No.
 - Q But the money is good, 950920?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

- A There is documentation on all the
- Q It is a fact the \$108,525.45 was paid to Marks Paneth & Shron in the real world as opposed to the world of accrual or cash --

- Shron's records. I am a partner. One of sixty. I am not the administrative partner. I am not the accounts receivable clerk. I do not handle Marks Paneth & Shron's books so you're asking me to make --
- Q Is there an accounts receivable clerk who can answer this question?
 - A Probably can, yes.
 - Can you tell me who that is?

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

W. H. Jennings bookkeeping so I can't .--

Q Well, perhaps you will inquire and tell Mr. Kelly and he'll provide me the names in ten days? (INSERT):

MR. KELLY: Perhaps.

MR. TRAUB: If I can make a suggestion, and again, this is a means of helping.

MR. HAYWOODE: Hold on, wait. Off the record.

MR. TRAUB: No, I'm not off the record.

MR. HAYWOODE: If it's a suggestion, I want to go off the record.

MR. TRAUB: I'm not off the record with my suggestions, Mel. I want my suggestion on the record.

MR. HAYWOODE: 221 doesn't allow the lawyer to testify or . make long arguments or statements. Is this something

A I repeat --Q -- in 2006? A According to Dalton's records that is a correct statement. Q And sir, according to Marks Paneth & Shron's records --A I do not audit Marks Paneth &

I don't know really anybody in

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jenninas

And \$15,000 from October, let's see, I don't know, from October 2000 to July 2, what are these items? What do they look to be? Do you have any idea?

A All I know is that my firm handled the tax exam. There were some analyses requested by Mr. Edmonds regarding the opt out and the viability of an opt out, that I know, whether it was viable because in this particular case Fifth and 106th has a 236 interest reduction subsidy which means that they have a one percent subsidized mortgage. If they lose that mortgage that!11 be a tremendous expense to the project. If they opt out they have to make sure that the market rents that were provided to me would cover it so this might have had to do with an opt out computation. I'd have to look because obviously this PR is cut off. This time and billing comes right off our time runs. Q Sir, are you finished?

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800~608-6085

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

-5

6

İ

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		•
1	W. H. Jennings 85	4.
2	that we need to hear?	i.
3	MR. TRAUB: No, what my	•
4	suggestion is, and it's on the	
5	record	•
6	MR. HAYWOODE: No, no. If	
7	it's not testimony, if it's not	
8	an objection, I want it off the	
9	record.	
10	MR. TRAUB: Mel, I want it on .	
11	the record and I'm entitled to	
12	have my statements on the record.	
13	MR. HAYWOODE: No, no, no, no.	
14	MR. TRAUB: Absolutely and	
15	Mel, my statement on the record	5 × 5
16	is to help everyone and it's not	
17	testimony about this.	
18	MR. HAYWOODE: Can we hear it	
19	first off the record?	
20	MR, TRAUB: No, I'm going to	
21	put it on the record.	
22	MR. HAYWOODE: Well, I object	*
23	toit	
24	MR. TRAUB: Then you can	
25	object to it. Your objection's	

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

· 1 W. H. Jennings 2 of the space now so that when the record is executed by his client 3 he will see that this is something that has to be done. MR. KELLY: Right but here's two things; you may not get the 8 record within ten days and number 9 two Mel, I don't know what these usual stipulations you keep 10 11 referring to are. MR. HAYWOODE: This is getting 12 to be, I mean, again, I don't 13 mind talking but this has nothing 14 15 to do with what we're here for today. 16 17 MR. TRAUB: It actually does, 18 Mel, because you said usual 19 stipulations, I don't know what those usual stipulations are. 20 21 This is the first deposition any 22 of us have ever had together so 23 what are these usual stipulations 24 that you're referring to? 25 MR. HAYWOODE: I'm going to

W. H. Jennings

on the record. That's nice. My suggestion is to help things. Any questions that you have or follow up information, why don't you put in a letter to Mr. Kelly and then after this they can then take it under advisement rather than trying to make requests now that he may then either forget and then you're going to assume that he's not answering or something so my suggestion was you've made your request on the record and then maybe after this you can put in a letter to everyone what information you feel you didn't receive or you would like to receive within ten days.

MR. HAYWOODE: I'd rather do it in accordance with the usual stipulations. There'll be a record produced here, he'll see a space and we ask for the keeping

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

> > W. H. Jennings

กก

refer you to the practice of the last hundred and fifty years of the bar, okay? Now, this young lady will tell you what the stipulations are.

MR. TRAUB: Do you know what. they are?

MR. HAYWOODE: Darren, please, I mean, again, I realize things well up here, it happens every ... time, okay, but it's not appropriate.

MR. TRAUB: I stand by my statements.

MR. HAYWOODE: I receive them but I'd rather do it the other, way, okay.

MR. TRAUB: Again, these weren't directed to me so it was just my suggestion.

MR. HAYWOODE: In fact, it's Bill Kelly's objection and he's not making it.

MR. KELLY: I haven't had the

W. H. Jennings

89

chance to speak yet

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 -

21

22

23

- 24

25.

3

6

4

- .5

. 7

. . 9

10

-11

12

. 13

14

15

16

18

. 19

.20

. 21

22

23

24

17

. 8

MR. HAYWOODE: Now, let's hear Bill Kelly's objection.

MR. KELLY: What are the usual stipulations that we have on the record?

(Whereupon, a brief discussion was held off the record.)

- Q There was a discussion off the record in which counsel suggested ways in which the \$105,000 could have been accrued or accumulated and does that refresh your recollection, Mr. Jennings, as to how that \$105,000 was actually accumulated?
- A It could have been accrued, yes.
 - Q All in the one year?
- A It's possible but not necessarily factual. I'd have to look at the facts.
- Q If we look in the volumes of other records that were presented to us might we find, for instance, that one of those items was billed in 2002?
- A: It's possible, yes. We keep work

LEX REPORTING SERVICE . 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings.

91

Your \$34,155 represents the audit fee. O Under your contract with the housing company -- are you familiar with the contract?

- A Yes.
- Q It sets forth an amount of money that the company is to receive; is that correct? ogly of the second
- A Yes, for accounting services.
- Q Does it speak of any process to be followed if monies in excess of the accounting services is to be paid?
 - A Yes.
- Q What, if anything, do you recall that it says about such money?
- A You need the approval of DHCR.
- ... Q So that it's fair to say that before the money was paid to Marks, Paneth & Shron that they obtained the approval of DHCR?
- A The accounting services, not the tax services.
- Q Did Marks Paneth & Shron seek or receive any approval from DHCR for the

W. H. Jennings in process reports, detailed reports, by hour, by employee, etcetera, by account, 3 by service, very detailed records. The determination of when it's billed, 6 that's discretionary. 7 Q So that your testimony is that 8 Marks Paneth & Shron could have 9 performed services anytime between 2001 and 2003 or whatever and not billed them 10 to this client until 2006; is that 11 12 correct? 13 A Yes Q In looking at the general ledger, 14 the general ledger showed that Dalton . 15 Management chose to reflect all that 16 money as being paid in 2006; is that 17 18 correct? 19 A That's correct. 20 Q Who made the determination to report to DHCR the \$34,000 and to 21 22 withhold from them the information 23 concerning the additional \$74,000, who 24 made that determination? A Based on our audit procedures.

> LEX REPORTING SERVICE . 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

payment of \$74,000 in 2006?

Ź

:3

4

5

6

7

B

q

10

.11

12

13

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

- A For the accounting services, the audit services, not the tax services.
- Q Did they receive any approval or authorization from DHCR for the payment of \$74,000 in addition to the accounting and to the audit services and 2006?
- A For the accounting services, not the tax services.
- Q You received an authorization for the \$74,000?
 - A. No, not for the tax services.
- 14. Did you apply for an authorization for the \$74,000? 15
 - A. It wasn't necessary. It was for tax services. That was a tax exempt. The tax services is not covered by the retainer.
 - Q It not being necessary, sir, is that the reason that only \$34,000 was reported to DHCR?
 - A No, if there were other payments made it would be disclosed in a separate line item but I'd have to look at every

single financial statement to see when it was accrued.

Q Well, we know that the general ledger reported it all at \$105,000 payable to Marks Paneth & Shron; is that correct? That's what the general ledger

W. H. Jennings

- A That was paid.
- Q Yes, but that's how the general ledger produced and reported it.
 - A That's not GAAP.
- Q Who made that decision to report \$34,000 and to place the balance of the \$74,000 in different categories?:
- A It may not be in different categories. It may have been accrued in prior years and it doesn't show up in 2006. It could have been 2005, it could have been 2004. I mean, I'd have to look at the work papers to make that determination. You're asking me a question I cannot answer.
- Q Whatever the answer is, it is... certain that Dalton Management didn't

800-608-6085

1

4

21

22

23

24

25

7. 9

11 12 13

19

.20

14

W. H. Jennings

question.

- Q -- that would memorialize this suggestion or proposal or this acceptance or acquiescence, nothing?
- A No.
- Q Now, when they accepted this in 2006 is it fair to say that Dalton . Management should have immediately amended its general ledger?
- A If they agreed to the proposed journal entries?
 - Q Yes.
 - A Yes.
- Q They would have amended and changed their general ledger?
- A For the prior year, that's correct. The state of the s
- Q So that when Cameron, Pryce & Griffiths looked at their books in March of 2007 they should have seen that change to the general ledger; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q But they didn't. They didn't see

2.

3

4

5

6.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

W. H. Jennings make that determination; is that correct? Dalton didn't make it. Dalton put in the general ledger \$105,000, right? A We proposed adjustments to make the financial statements in accordance with --Q And did Dalton agree and accept those adjustments?.

A They would have to. They're their financial statements.

But did they?

Α. They would have to.

Q They would have to accept it --

A Yes.

Ö -- purely because Marks Paneth & Shron --

Α No.

Q -- proposed it?

A We propose journal entries. They have to agree to the journal entries.

Q And there's no writing ---

A No.

MR. KELLY: Let him finish his

LEX REPORTING SERVICE 800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

it in March of 2007. The change wasn't made by Dalton. Does that refresh your recollection as to what you just testified to?

A I don't know what their procedures were internally, as far as that was concerned. They would have to make it at the end of 2006 to correct 2006 based on the approved journal. entries. If it was made in 2007 the only thing it would affect would be the balance sheet accounts. It wouldn't affect any profit and loss statement because part of its capital accounts. would already be closed out.

> MR. HAYWOODE: Let's have this marked.

(A financial statement was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 for identification, as of this date.)

Q Now, I'm not going to mark this exhibit but it is a document which appears to be a bill from Marks Paneth & LEX REPORTING SERVICE

W. H. Jennings item 6220. Do you see those items? ź. A Yes. Q Now, the first item is reported 5. as an adjustment journal entry and a reclassification; is that correct? It's in that column, both items? Я A Yes. 9 Q And \$82,909 is reported as a 10 management consultant fee; is that 11. correct? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And then in a second column \$82,909 is reported as an audit expense; 14 is that correct? 15 A A credit to audit expense. 16 Q So that you're saying that you 17 credited the audit expense account which 18 is to say that you removed it from the 19 20 audit expense account? A Correct, where it didn't belong. 21 22 Q It didn't belong there? 23 Α No. 24 And then you put it in the 25 management consulting column?

```
2
            to --
 3
               MR. HAYWOODE: Sure, I
            acknowledge your point, Darren.
               MR. TRAUB: Thank you.
               MR. KELLY: I'm sorry I was
            reading the document during that.
           You were saying?
               MR. TRAUB: Well, I was just
10
           saying because the document is
11
           not marked as an exhibit the term
12
           monies is just the $15,000.
           That's what the witness was
13
14
           testifying about.
            MR. KELLY: Okay.
15
       Q Now, I direct your attention,
16
    sir, to Plaintiff's 5 which is a
17
    document generated by Marks Paneth &
18
19
    Shron; is that correct?
       A Yes.
20
21
       Q I direct your attention to
22
    account number 6210 which appears twice
    in the column at the bottom. I'm sorry, :
- 23
    is it twice or three times? I'm sorry,
24
    they're two different items, 6204 and
25
```

W. H. Jennings

LEX REPORTING SERVICE

```
1
                     W. H. Jennings
        A That's correct.
      Q Did you propose a journal entry
     for that change?
      A Yes
        Q Was the general ledger changed by
     Dalton Management?
        A I don't know.
        Q You testified before that it was
     essential that these changes be
10
     generated through Dalton Management so
11
     that in the next year your books and
12
     your financial statement and the general
13
     ledger would all be on the same page,
14
15
     sort of speak; is that correct?
      A That's correct but you have to
16
17
     understand the process if you don't go
18
     back to prior general ledgers. If he
19
     should have made these entries I.
20
     wouldn't be aware if he did or didn't.
21
    We work off the trial balance. We do
22
    not make original entries. We do not do
23
    bookkeeping.
        Q But we do know that when the
-24
```

Cameron, Pryce & Griffiths got to them